

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/761,005 | 01/16/2001 | Sung-Won Lee | 678-595 (P9710) | 6052 | |
| 28249 | 7590 07/22/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP | | | SCHEIBEL, ROBERT C | | |
| UNIONDALE | VINGTON BLVD. , NY 11553 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2666 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | / |
|---|---|
| - | / |
| U | |

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/761,005 | LEE, SUNG-WON | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Robert C. Scheibel | 2666 | | | |

| B. C. of the Elling of an American Dulas | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | _ | | | |
| | Robert C. Scheibel | 2666 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f |). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | jected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | illowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>3,5-7,12-15,20-25 and 30-33.</u> | | • | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected to: <u>0,5-7,72-10,20-20 and 30-55</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,8-11,16-19,26-29,34,35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant has argued that the independent claims are not anticipated by the 3GPP2 reference as asserted in the Final office action. Examiner is not persuaded by these arguments for the following reasons. In general, the claim language is broad and while there are differences in the specific use of the sequence number in the 3GPP2 document and the applicant's specification, these differences are not reflected in the broad language of the current claims. Further, examiner feels that the claims currently indicated as containing allowable subject matter more accurately define the present invention.

Seema S. RAO 7/21/05 SEEMA S. RAO 7/21/05 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600